IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FREDERICK BANKS,)	
Petitioner,)	
vs.) No. CIV-11-201-	·C
WARDEN OF THE FEDERAL)	
TRANSFER CENTER, et al.,)	
Respondents.)	

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

This action for habeas corpus relief brought by a prisoner, proceeding <u>pro se</u>, was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Valerie K. Couch, consistent with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). Judge Couch entered a Report and Recommendation on February 15, 2012, to which Petitioner has timely objected. The Court therefore considers the matter de novo.

The facts and relevant law are set out in full in the accurate and well-reasoned opinion of the Magistrate Judge and no point would be served in repeating that analysis. In his objection, Plaintiff raises no issue of law or fact that was not fairly addressed and correctly decided by the Magistrate Judge.

Accordingly, the Court adopts, in its entirety, the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, and for the reasons announced therein, the petition for writ of habeas corpus relief is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 5th day of March, 2012.

ROBIN J. CAUTHRON

United States District Judge